Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JAN 16 2005
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

(2)

No. 04-733

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL BEN GRAVES, PETITIONER

v.

JUDGE MARY M. SCHROEDER, ET AL., RESPONDENTS;

JUDGE GARY A. FEESS, ET AL., RESPONDENTS.

On Petition For Writ of Certiorari To The United States Court of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF JANUARY 10, 2005 ORDER (R44)

(Copies/Complaints: Pres. George W. Bush and Hon. Con. Maxine Waters)

Michael B. Graves
Pro Se Petitioner
816 E. 101st Street
Los Angeles, Ca 90002
(323) 357-0244

GROUNDS FOR REHEARING

The Petitioner, pro se Michael Ben Graves, respectfully submits the following grounds for his Petition for Rehearing of the Court's January 10, 2005 Order (hereafter "the Order") which denies pro se Graves' Petition for a Writ of Certiorari:

Grounds #1 - Each and all of the United States Supreme Court Justices "....shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution...", pursuant to Constitution Article VI. However, the present denial Order by definition and fact "sanctions" respondents' acknowledged, under Supra Rule 15, factual multiple violations of (a) the Constitution's Articles I, II, III, and VI; (b) the Constitution's Amendments V and VII; (c) self-enforcing statutes 28 USC 455(a) and Title VII Civil Rights Act of 1964 (amended) with EO11246 and 41 CFR 60-40; (d) the Constitution's Separation of Powers doctrine; and (e) the Supreme Court's own Rule 10 in the present and related cases.

Grounds #2 - Each and all of the United States executive and judicial officers "....shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution...", pursuant to Constitution Article VI. However, the present denial Order by definition and fact "sanctions" executive and

judicial officers' violations of Constitution Article VI in the present and related cases before, during and after the Justices received the present case for review. Such executive and judicial officers who violated and conspired to violate Constitution Article VI in the present and related cases include (a) respondent representatives the Justice Department officers and attorneys Ashcroft, Yang, Weidman, Luymes, and Lester, (b) 9th Circuit Court Clerk Cathy Catterson and staff, and (c) Supreme Court officers and attorneys William Suter, Troy Cahill, and Sally Rider. The Justice Department unlawfully "intercepted" the Complaint and Summons for non governmental Joint Reporting Committee (JRC) in a related case and fraudulently represented the JRC as a "government agency". 9th Circuit officers favored defendant parties by unlawfully "terminating" defendant party the JRC from the related case without processing the appeal, and failing and refusing to forward requests for self-recusal to targeted judges under self-enforcing statute 28 USC 455(a). Supreme Court officers favored the respondents by censoring the case records, submitted to the Justices for review, to exclude pro se Graves' papers which were filed prior to the Supreme Court's stated deadlines.

Grounds #3 - Each and all of the United States Supreme Court Justices "....shall be bound by

Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution...", pursuant to Constitution Article VI. However, Chief Justice William Rehnquist and Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, who supervise and administer the activities of the 9th Circuit judges, i.e. respondents, unlawfully failed and refused even to respond to motions or requests for self-recusal to each justice, about himself and herself, pursuant to Constitution Article III jurisdiction and self-enforcing statute 28 USC 455(a) due to the appearance of partiality and/or conflicts of interest.

Grounds #4 - Each and all of the United States Supreme Court Justices, federal judges, and executive and judicial officials "....shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution...", pursuant to Constitution Article VI. However, the present denial Order by definition and fact circumvents and violates the United States Constitution and Bill of Rights, and sanctions such circumvention and violations in the lower courts, by flagrantly exploiting and taking advantage of pro se Graves and the American People, and defying the Supreme Court's own Rule 10..

Respectfully submitted, January 15, 2005

Michael B. Graves

Michael B. Graves, pro se Petitioner

Michael B. Graves
816 E. 101st Street
Los Angeles, Ca 90002
(323) 357-0244
January 14, 2005

Certification of Good Faith Filing

I, Petitioner pro se Michael Ben Graves, herewith Certify that the attached "Petition for Rehearing of the Supreme Court's Order dated January 10, 2005" for Supreme Court case number 04-733 is presented in good faith and not for delay, pursuant Supra Rule 44.

I, Petitioner pro se Michael Ben Graves, declare under penalty of perjury that all of the above is true to the best of my knowledge.

January 14, 2005

Michael B. Graves

Michael B. Graves
State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of January 2005, by Michael B. Graves personally known to me or proved to me on satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

NOTARY PUBLIC